Three new dominant C1 suppressor alleles in Zea mays

TATJANA SINGER¹, ALFONS GIERL¹ AND PETER A. PETERSON^{2*}

¹Lehrstuhl f
ür Genetik, Technische Universit
ät M
ünchen, Lichtenbergstrasse 4, D-85747 Munich, Germany
²Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University Ames, IA 50011-1010, USA

(Received 13 January 1997 and in revised form 25 August 1997 and 15 January 1998)

Summary

Three new dominant suppressor mutations of the C1 transcription regulator gene in maize – C1-I Δ 1, C1-I Δ 2 and C1-I Δ 3 – are described that suppress anthocyanin colouration in kernels similar to the function of the C1-I standard inhibitor. The C1-I Δ mutations were induced by imprecise excision of an En/Spm transposon in the third exon of the C1 gene. These transposon footprints cause a frameshift in the C1 open reading frame that leads to truncated proteins due to an early stop codon 30 amino acids upstream of the wild-type C1 protein. Therefore, the C1-I Δ gene products lack the carboxy-terminal transcriptional activation domain of C1. The C1-I Δ standard allele also lacks this domain and in addition differs in 17 amino acids from the wild-type C1 allele. The new C1-I Δ alleles provide evidence that deletion of the carboxy-terminal activation domain alone is sufficient to generate a dominant suppressive effect on the function of wild-type C1.

1. Introduction

The C1 gene product of maize is a *myb*-homologous transcription factor involved in regulation of anthocyanin synthesis in the aleurone layer of the endosperm (Paz-Ares et al., 1986, 1987; Cone et al., 1986). The 273 amino acid C1 protein contains two domains, namely a basic amino-terminal *myb*-homologous region responsible for DNA binding and an acidic carboxy-terminal domain required for transcriptional activation (Paz-Ares et al., 1990; Goff et al., 1991). C1-I, a dominant inhibitor allele (in this paper referred to as the C1-I standard allele), suppresses anthocyanin pigmentation in the kernel (Coe, 1962). Paz-Ares and co-workers (1990) described the C1-I product as a truncated protein different from C1 at 17 amino acid positions and, most prominently, lacking 21 residues of the acidic C1 carboxy-terminal domain. An additive interplay of a mutation within the basic DNA-binding domain and the partial truncation of the acidic transcriptional activation domain is likely to be responsible for the repressor function of C1-I (Goff et al., 1991; Solano et al., 1995).

In the present study we describe three new C1-I alleles designated C1-I Δ 1, C1-I Δ 2 and C1-I Δ 3

* Corresponding author. Telephone: +1 (515)-294-9652. Fax: +1 (515)-294-2299. e-mail: pap@iastate.edu.

respectively, generated by excision events of an En/Spm transposon inserted in the third exon of the C1 gene. Maize transposons such as En/Spm often undergo imprecise excision thereby leaving altered nucleotide sequences, termed footprints, at the site of integration. These changes can result in frameshift mutations giving rise to mutant protein products with new properties (Schwarz-Sommer *et al.*, 1984; Wessler, 1988; Franken *et al.*, 1994). Here, we report on three dominant C1 suppressor alleles resulting from transposon excision. In these alleles truncation of the carboxy-terminus is sufficient to generate a suppressor from a fully functional C1 allele.

2. Materials and methods

(i) Maize stocks

The following full-colour lines, containing the wildtype *C1* allele, were used as crossing partners: *Line C* is a colour-converted W22 maize inbred line developed by Dr R. A. Brink, University of Wisconsin, from which the wild-type *C1* allele was originally cloned (Paz-Ares *et al.*, 1986). *Aet* is an early-maturing colour line that originated from the Cornell Maize Coop collection. The *Super C* (${}^{s}C$) line carries the ${}^{s}C$ allele, which is known to include a Box II in the *C1* promoter (Hattori *et al.*, 1992; Scheffler *et al.*, 1994). The line $c1 \ sh \ wx$ has been described as a tester for C1 insertions (Peterson, 1978; Reddy & Peterson, 1983) and was included in crosses to isolate germinal excision revertant derivatives. The c1-m763103 allele arose in an isolation plot (1975) that was developed to tag new c1-m alleles and confirmed as a c1 mutable allele (Peterson, 1963, 1978). The c1-m763103 allele was the source of the colourless and pale revertant derivatives that were isolated as selfed progenies.

(ii) PCR

Genomic DNA was isolated by the method of Dellaporta et al. (1983) with the modification of a RNase digestion step (20 μ g per sample; 30 min; 37 °C) and a subsequent phenol extraction prior to the last precipitation. One microgram served as template in a reaction mixture containing 2 μ M of each primer, 250 μM dNTPs and 2.5 U Taq polymerase (Boehringer, Mannheim). The $10 \times$ reaction buffer was prepared with 0.67 M Tris/HCl (pH 8.8); 0.167 M (NH₄)₂SO₄; $0.1 \text{ M} \beta$ -mercaptoethanol and $0.067 \text{ M} \text{ MgCl}_2$. The PCR was initiated with a hotstart and carried out for 30 cycles (1 min, 94 °C; 30 s, 60 °C; 2 min, 72 °C). In order to determine the insertion site of the En1 transposon (Pereira et al., 1985) in the c1-m763103 plant, primers were used that bind to one end of the transposon or to different regions of the C1 locus. Finally, a 380 bp fragment containing the En1 border sequences was amplified with the following primer pair: pEn3': 5'-AGGTAGCTTACTGATGTGCGC-GC-3' (8024-8046) and pC3: 5'-GTACTTGTTGTC-TACGCAAGCTGC-3' (1062 - 1085).Sequence positions correspond to the En1 (Pereira et al., 1985) and to the wild-type C1 allele (Paz-Ares et al., 1987). The amplification of footprint sequences in the c1m763103 revertant derivatives was performed with two primer pairs in two steps. Firstly, a PCR was performed with the following primer pair: pC1: 5'-ACCGGCCAGAATAGCGCCGCTCATC-3' (694-718); pC4: 5'-CTCGTGCTTATTGGACATCTAT-ACGTG-3' (1085-1111). The sample was diluted 1:1000 and 1 μ l served as a template in a second PCR with the nested primer pair pC2 (nested to pC1): 5'-GGACTCTTCTTCTTCCACCGGGACA-3' (799-823) and pC3 (nested to pC4): 5'-GTACTTGTTG-TCTACGCAAGCTGC-3' (1062–1085). The resulting 286 bp fragment was purified with Quiaquick spin columns (Qiagen, Hilden).

(iii) Sequencing

Sequences were determined by ABI Cycle Sequencing protocol on a ABI 377 sequencer. A 20-40 ng PCR

fragment served as substrate in the linear amplification reaction (30 s, 94 °C; 30 s, 50 °C; 4 min, 60 °C).

3. Results

(i) *The origin of* cl-m763103 *and its relation to* En/Spm

The mutable C1 allele, c1-m763103, was isolated as a single kernel event among progeny in an isolation plot for C1 mutants where the parents were $C1/C1 \ En \times c1$ sh1 wx (Peterson, 1978). Plant 763103 was crossed by a c1 tester (c1 sh wx) to clarify whether the new mutation is indeed an allele of C1 (c1-m), which was confirmed by the resulting mutable progeny (coarse pattern, Fig. 1A, facing page). Subsequent genetic analysis showed that c1-m763103 is autonomously mutable, very probably representing an integration of the transposable element En/Spm into C1 (data not shown).

(ii) Origin of dominant negative revertant derivatives of c1-m763103

In the cross of c1-763103 by line C (cross 1) a number of kernels appeared with colourless aleurone sectors on a coloured background in the endosperm (Fig. 1*B*), as well as a few entirely colourless and pale kernels (not shown in Fig. 1*B*). Since the endosperm genotype of kernels from cross 1 was c1-m763103/c1m763103/C1, the kernels were expected to be fully coloured and the observed colourless sectors were unexpected due to the anticipated dominance of C1 (Fig. 1*B*).

Cross 1:

 $c1-m763103/c1-m763103 \times C1/C1 \Rightarrow$

(genotype of endosperm) c1-m763103/c1-m763103/C1.

Cross 2:

 $C1/C1 \times cl-m763103/c1-m763103 \Rightarrow$

*C*1/*C*1/*c*1-*m*763103.

In the reciprocal cross (cross 2) with c1-m763103 plants as male partners no colourless sectors were found among these ears. The different phenotypes of crosses 1 and 2 can be due to the different allele combinations in the aleurone layer of the triploid endosperm. One possible explanation of the findings is that c1-m763103 generates dominant negative alleles that can suppress one dose of wild-type C1 in the endosperm (cross 1) but not two doses (cross 2).

Seventy-eight colourless and pale germinal derivatives of the progeny of cross 1 were selected and selfed



Fig. 1. Phenotypes of the c1-m763103 allele and the colourless revertant derivatives following excision of En/Spm. (A) Kernel of the mutable c1-m763103 allele (c1-m763103/c1/c1). (B) Kernels with colourless sectors resulting from the cross of the c1-m763103 allele with the wild-type $(c1-m763103/c1-m763103 \times C1/C1)$. The genotype of the endosperm in these kernels is c1-m763103/c1-m763103/c1: the colourless sectors have the genotype $C1-I\Delta/C1-I\Delta/C1$. (C) Progenies from the cross $C1-I\Delta/C1-I\Delta \times C1/C1$ (the male crossing partner was, from top to bottom: ${}^{s}C$, line C, Aet).

to isolate colourless and pale revertant derivatives. Plants grown from these colourless kernels were used as female plants and as in cross 1 rested with line C(C1/C1) as well as with the other full-colour lines Aet and ${}^{s}C$. The progenies showed differences in colour expression in the kernels when lines Aet, line C or ${}^{s}C$ were used as the male crossing partners (Fig. 1*C*). The strongest suppressive effect can generally be seen with the *Aet* line as a male parent, where the kernels are colourless. A somewhat weaker suppressive effect is usually observed with the *line* C parent, where the kernels are pale-coloured but clearly distinguishable from wild-type kernels. In the cross with the ${}^{s}C$ line all kernels are coloured, indicating no suppressive effect of the c1-m763103 revertant derivative in this combination (Fig. 1C). This indicates that the suppressive capacity of the c1-m763103 revertant derivatives is variable when combined with different C1 wild-type alleles, but variations in colour suppression are also seen within crosses with one line-although suppression is always uniform for one ear.

For further analysis the progenies from the crosses with *line* C were classified for colour expression ranging from strong (colourless) to weak (pale) colour suppression. We hypothesized that the suppressive effect observed in c1-m763103 revertant derivatives is due to mutations in the C1 gene product that are caused by inaccurate excision of En/Spm. In order to test this hypothesis we analysed the DNA sequence of the C1 locus in c1-m763103 revertant derivatives. Because of the intensity differences in colour expression within the progeny of cross 1 we selected 24 individual kernels that covered the whole range from strong to weak colour inhibition for sequence analysis.

(iii) DNA sequence determination of the insertion site and the 24 cl-m763103 revertant derivatives

First, the insertion site of En/Spm in the c1-m763103 progenitor was mapped (see Section 2). The insertion occurred after nucleotide 970 in exon three of the C1 sequence (Paz-Ares et al., 1987; Fig. 2A). Primers flanking this position were used to obtain PCR fragments from all 24 c1-m763103 revertant derivatives. Sequence analysis of these fragments showed footprints diagnostic for En/Spm excisions (Saedler & Nevers, 1985). Three different types of sequence alterations were detected, resulting in the insertion of one to four bases at the En/Spm excision site (Fig. 2A). As the suppressor function of the respective gene products resembled the C1-I standard allele, the mutants were termed C1-I Δ 1, C1-I Δ 2 and C1-I Δ 3. Of the 24 cl-m763103 revertant derivatives analysed, 20 were of type C1-I Δ 1, three of C1-I Δ 2, and one of C1- $I\Delta 3$. Each of the three mutations shifts the reading frame by one nucleotide after codon 239 (C1-IA2) or 240 (C1-I Δ 1, C1-I Δ 3) leading to premature translational termination at position 978 (Fig. 2A). As a result of these frameshift mutations, 33 or 34 amino acids of the wild-type C1 allele are truncated and two or three amino acids are added compared with the wild-type C1 protein (Fig. 2B).

No correlation of the strength of the suppressive colour inhibition with a particular footprint sequence was found; for example, the 20 individuals grouped in the C1- $I\Delta 1$ category originally comprised both strongand weak-coloured kernels. Several of the individuals of the C1- $I\Delta 1/C1$, line C) have been backcrossed using line C or Aet as a pollen (A)

	structure of alleles number of isolates
C1	964 * * * * * * TTCT <u>CCG</u> GCGACGGTGAC
C1-m76310	TTCT <u>CCG</u> CCG GCGACGGTGAC
C1-I1	* * * * * * * TTCTCCG GCCG GCGACGGTGAC 20
C1-I42	* * * * * * • TTCTCCG G GCGACGGTGAC 3
C1-I 43	* * * * * • TTCTCC CG GCGACGGTGAC 1
(<i>B</i>)	
C1	210 + + + + GGGEAGSSDDCSSAASVSLRVGSHDEPCFSGDGDGDWMDDVRALASFLESDEDWLRCQTAGQLA
C1-I	GGGEAGSSDDCSSAASVS <u>P</u> RVGSHDEPCFSGDGDGDWMD <u>SWTT</u>
C1-IA1	GGGEAGSSDDCSSAASVSLRVGSHDEPCFSG <u>RRR</u>
C1-I42	GGGEAGSSDDCSSAASVSLRVGSHDEPCFSG <u>RR</u>
C1-I Δ3	GGGEAGSSDDCSSAASVSLRVGSHDEPCFS <u>RR</u>
	+ +++ +++ CCCFFACSSDDCSSARCVCIASBRKPRAVULBRR

Fig. 2. (A) Footprints leading to the C1-I Δ 1, C1-I Δ 2, C1-I Δ 3 alleles generated by imprecise excision of the En/Spm element from the C1 gene (additionally inserted nucleotides are in bold). The target site, generated upon integration of En/Spm in C1, is underlined. Codons are marked by stars above each sequence. Stop codons in the C1-I Δ alleles generated by frameshifting are indicated by dots. The number of independent excision events analysed is indicated. (B) C1 protein sequence comparison. The amino acids at the carboxy-terminus are shown, starting from residue 210 of the putative gene products of wild-type C1, standard C1-I, three alleles of this work (C1-I Δ 1, C1-I Δ 2, C1-I Δ 3) and C1-mDEx4 described by Franken and co-workers (1994). Residues deviating from wild-type C1 are underlined. The distribution of charged acidic (-) and basic (+) residues is given above each sequence, respectively. The positions of α -helices predicted by the method of Rost & Sander (1993) are marked by dots.

Table 1. Backcross analysis of the c1-m763103 allele $(C1/c1-m763103 \times C1/C1)$

Lin	e C			Aet		
	Cl	Pale	(%) Pale	Cl	Pale	(%) Pale
1	128	105	45·0	116	124	51.7
2	145	139	48.9	148	146	49.7
3	80	68	45.9	183	186	50.4
4	92	68	42.5	89	91	50.5

The *Cl* (Coloured) class and the pale class are expected to segregate 1:1. (Endosperm genotypes are *C1 C1 C1* and *C1 C1-I C1-I.*)

source. The resultant ears segregated 1:1 for colour suppression (Table 1). This provides further evidence for the genetic correspondence between the colour inhibitor trait and the revertant derivatives of the c1-m763013 allele. The colour expression on the ears was

uniform; however, different ears derived from one individual had again quite varying degrees of colour suppression (data not shown). This excludes segregating genetic factors that would modify colour expression.

4. Discussion

Molecular analysis of revertant derivatives from the mutable plant designated c1-m763103 revealed three new alleles – C1- $I\Delta 1$, C1- $I\Delta 2$ and C1- $I\Delta 3$ – that were generated by independent excision events of an En/Spm transposable element from the C1 locus. These footprints lead to premature termination of the C1 open reading frame. Like the protein encoded by the C1-I standard allele the gene products of the C1- $I\Delta$ alleles lack the acidic carboxy-terminal activation domain of wild-type C1 (Paz-Ares *et al.*, 1990; Goff *et al.*, 1991). Suppression of anthocyanin colouration in kernels carrying the C1- $I\Delta$ alleles indicates that the encoded proteins act as transcriptional repressors

273

252

243

242

242

243

similar to the C1-I protein (for review see Franken et al., 1994). While in standard C1-I 21 amino acids of C1 are deleted, the C1-IA proteins lack 33 or 34 residues (Fig. 2b). Secondary structure predictions by the method of Rost & Sander (1993) suggest an α helix within amino acids 244-250 in both C1 and C1-I but not in the shorter C1-IA alleles (Fig. 2B). The absence of this α -helix could result in a decrease in protein stability in the truncated C1-IA proteins. Instability of the truncated proteins could account for the observed diversity of suppressor action in the C1- $I\Delta 1$ alleles, although mRNA instability can not be excluded. However, the differences can not be attributed to genetic background factors, since in the backcrosses homogeneous coloured ears were obtained, segregating 1:1 for suppression.

While the C1- $I\Delta$ proteins are probably less stable than the standard C1-I repressor, they are still able to act as transcriptional suppressors. In contrast C1mDEx4 does not exhibit a suppressive dominance effect (Franken *et al.*, 1994). In the C1-mDEx4 mutant the frameshift occurs further upstream at codon 224 leading to 20 residues at the carboxy-terminus that deviate from the wild-type C1 sequence (Fig. 2 B). The altered carboxy-terminal sequence in the C1-mDEx4allele obviously no longer permits the inhibitory function, perhaps by further decreasing protein or mRNA stability.

The suppressive potency for the C1-I Δ alleles is lower than that of the C1-I standard allele as indicated by the finding that two doses of C1-I Δ are necessary for suppressing one dose of wild-type C1. This effect may not only be due to structural differences, but could also result from an enhanced transcription level associated with the C1-I standard allele. It was shown that the steady-state mRNA levels of the C1-I standard allele are substantially higher than in the wild-type C1 allele (Paz-Ares et al., 1990). Enhanced transcription was correlated with a alteration in the Box II of the promoter in the C1-I standard allele (Scheffler et al., 1995). The C1-IA alleles described here are derived from a wild-type genetic background and therefore are probably lacking the transcription-enhancing Box II in the promoter. Therefore, the C1-IA alleles would be expressed at a much lower level compared with the C1-I standard allele. This would explain the fact that two doses of the C1-IA alleles are required for the inhibition of one wild-type C1 allele. Thus it appears that colour suppression is generally stronger in combination with the Aet line than with line C. Whether this indicates any differences in gene expression of the C1 alleles in these lines is not known. In contrast, two doses of the C1-I Δ alleles cannot suppress one dose of the ${}^{s}C$ allele in the aleurone. The ${}^{s}C$ allele contains the same promoter mutation as the C1-I standard allele in its Box II and is therefore strongly transcribed. In this situation even one dose of the ${}^{s}C$ allele could make enough functional C1 protein to get fully coloured kernels.

The sequence of the C1-I standard allele deviates from wild-type C1 by 17 additional amino acid alterations besides truncation of the carboxy-terminal region (Paz-Ares *et al.*, 1990). Therefore the suppressor function of the C1-I standard allele cannot be attributed exclusively to the deletion of the acidic domain at the carboxy-terminus (Goff *et al.*, 1991). In contrast, the suppressive effect of the C1-IA alleles is due to truncation of the carboxy-terminal domain. This finding confirms the original explanation (Paz-Ares *et al.*, 1990) that a transcription activator is converted into a competitive inhibitor by deletion of the acidic activation domain.

References

- Coe, E. H. (1962). Spontaneous mutation of the aleurone color inhibitor in maize. *Genetics* **47**, 779–783.
- Cone, K. C., Burr, F. A. & Burr, B. (1986). Molecular analysis of the maize anthocyanin regulatory locus C1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 83, 9631–9635.
- Dellaporta, S. L., Wood, J. & Hicks, J. B. (1983). A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. *Plant Molecular Biology Reports* 1, 19–21.
- Franken, P., Schrell, S., Peterson, P. A., Saedler, H. & Wienand, U. (1994). Molecular analysis of protein domain function encoded by the *myb*-homologous maize genes *C1*, Zm 1 and Zm 39. The Plant Journal 6, 21–30.
- Goff, S. A., Cone, K. C. & Fromm, M. E. (1991). Identification of functional domains in the maize transcriptional activator C1: comparison of wild-type and dominant inhibitor proteins. *Genes & Development* 5, 298–309.
- Goff, S. A., Cone, K. C. & Chandler, V. L. (1992). Functional analysis of the transcriptional activator encoded by the maize *B* gene: evidence for a direct functional interaction between two classes of regulatory proteins. *Genes & Development* 6, 864–875.
- Hattori, T., Vasil, V., Rosenkrans, L., Hannah, L. C., McCarty, D. R. & Vasil, I. K. (1992). The *Viviparous-1* gene and abscisic acid activate the *C1* regulatory gene for anthocyanin biosynthesis during seed maturation in maize. *Genes & Development* 6, 609–618.
- Paz-Ares, J., Wienand, U., Peterson, P. A. & Saedler, H. (1986). Molecular cloning of the *c* locus of *Zea mays*: a locus regulating the anthocyanin pathway. *EMBO Journal* 5, 829–833.
- Paz-Ares, J., Ghosal, D., Wienand, U., Peterson, P. A. & Saedler, H. (1987). The regulatory *c1* locus of *Zea mays* encodes a protein with homology to *myb* proto-oncogene products and with structural similarities to transcriptional activators. *EMBO Journal* 6, 3553–3558.
- Paz-Ares, J., Ghosal, D. & Saedler, H. (1990). Molecular analysis of the C1-I allele from Zea mays: a dominant mutant of the regulatory C1 locus. EMBO Journal 9, 315–321.
- Pereira, A., Schwarz-Sommer, Z., Bertram, I., Peterson, P. A. & Saedler, H. (1985). Genetic and molecular analysis of the Enhancer (*En*) transposable element system of *Zea mays. EMBO Journal* 4, 17–23.
- Peterson, P. A. (1963). Influence of mutable genes on induction of instability in maize. *Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science* **70**, 129–134.

- Peterson, P. A. (1978). Controlling elements: the induction of mutability at the A2 and C loci in maize. In Maize Breeding and Genetics (ed. D. B. Walden). New York: Wiley.
- Reddy, A. R. & Peterson, P. A. (1983). Transposable elements of maize: genetic bases of pattern differentiation of some mutable *c* alleles of the Enhancer system. *Molecular and General Genetics* **192**, 21–31.
- Rost, B. & Sander, C. (1993). Prediction of protein secondary structure at better than 70% accuracy. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 232, 584–599.
- Saedler, H. & Nevers, P. (1985). Transposition in plants: a molecular model. *EMBO Journal* **4**, 585–590.
- Scheffler, B., Franken, P., Schütt, E., Schrell, A., Saedler, H. & Wienand, U. (1994). Molecular analysis of C1 alleles in

Zea mays defines regions involved in the expression of this regulatory gene. *Molecular and General Genetics* **242**, 40–48.

- Schwarz-Sommer, Z., Gierl, A., Klösgen, R. B., Wienand, U., Peterson, P. A. & Saedler, H. (1984). The Spm (En) transposable element controls the excision of a 2-kb DNA insert at the wx-m8 allele of Zea mays. EMBO Journal 3, 1021–1028.
- Solano, R., Nieto, C., Avila, J., Canas, L., Diaz, I. & Paz-Ares, J. (1995). Dual DNA binding specificity of a petal epidermis-specific MYB transcription factor (MYB.Ph3) from *Petunia hybrida*. *EMBO Journal* 14, 1773–1784.
- Wessler, S. R. (1988). Phenotypic diversity mediated by the maize transposable elements Ac and Spm. Science 242, 399–405.