# **GOCE** Release 6 Products and Performance

Th. Gruber & High Level Processing Facility (HPF) Team

Institute of Astronomical & Physical Geodesy (IAPG), Technical University of Munich

 $V(r, g, \lambda) = \frac{GM}{r} + \left| \frac{GM}{r} \frac{I_{max}}{\sum_{l=2}^{r} \frac{GM}{m=0}} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^{l} \overline{P}_{ln} \right|$ 

 $ps(m\lambda)$ 

# **High Level Processing Facility**

- 15 years of successful collaboration
- In charge of complete L1 to L2 Processing and L2 Products
- Implementation of revised L0 to L1 Processor

L2 Products:
Precise GOCE Orbits
Gravity Gradients
GOCE Gravity Field Models
Ionosphere Products
Thermosphere Products

Delft University of Technology, Astrodynamics and Space Missions, The Netherlands

Universität Bonn, Institut für Geodäsie & Geoinformation, Germany

Universität Bern, Astronomisches Institut, Switzerland

Centre Nationale d'Etudes Spatiales, Toulouse, France

> Politechnico di Milano, DIIAR Sezione Rilevamento Italy

Helmholtz-Zentrum Potsdam, Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum Dept. 1 Geodesy, Dept. 2 Geomagnetism, Germany

Pl & Project Management: Technische Universität München, Astronomische und Physikalische Geodäsie, Germany

Technische Universität Graz, Institut für Geodäsie Austria

### **Outline**

### **1. GOCE Reprocessing**

- □ Why?
- Overview

### 2. Results of Reprocessing

- Gradients
- Orbits
- □ Ionosphere & Thermosphere
- Gravity Field Models & Performance
- 3. Summary









mE



-100 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100



# **GOCE** Reprocessing – Why?

Increased residuals for cross-track gradients  $(V_{yy})$  and others around geomagnetic poles



Courtesy: A. Schlicht

Reduced performance of gravity field in these areas because of outlier rejection i.e. less data for gravity field computation



Courtesy: J.M. Brockmann

Kinematic orbits show systematic effects around the geomagnetic equator because of degraded GPS data – impact on gravity field

Geoid differences (-5 cm +5 cm) wrt. TIM Rel. 4 Model; Courtesy: A. Jäggi

New applications of GOCE data

- Ionospheric and atmospheric  $\geq$ density profiles.
- New combined gravity field  $\succ$ models with new gravity data from ESA Antarctic airborne campaign.



## **GOCE Reprocessing Overview**



# **GOCE Reprocessing Results - Gradients**

- New gradiometer calibration scheme by estimating additional quadratic factors for the differential mode accelerations.
- □ Temperature dependent Star tracker attitude bias estimated per measurement epoch.
- Angular rate reconstruction with moving optimal filter frequency between star tracker and gradiometer angular accelerations.
- □ Refer to previous presentation by C. Siemes.



# **GOCE Reprocessing Results - Gradients**

#### **Gravity Gradient Residuals to GRACE Gravity Field Model**



Courtesy: C. Siemes, M. Rexer

# **GOCE Reprocessing Results - Orbits**

- Orbits for the entire time span (April 7, 2009 October 20, 2013) have been reprocessed both from unweighted and weighted GPS data
- Improved strategy to mitigate ionosphere-induced artefacts by down-weighting affected GPS observations instead of eliminating.
- Additional screening of kinematic positions based on variances.
- □ GPS-only gravity field models derived from reprocessed kinematic orbits are significantly improved (see figures with differences to XGM2016).

#### **Rel. 5 Precise Science Orbits**



**Rel. 6 Precise Science Orbits** 



Courtesy: D. Arnold, T. Grombein, J.M. Brockmann

# **GOCE Reprocessing Results – Ionosphere**

- The TEC and ROTI products have been successfully derived, which provides unique dataset for the space weather study at 250 km.
- The characteristics of TEC and ROTI from GOCE satellite agrees well with previous findings from ground-based and other LEO missions.
- Attention should be paid when using ROTI to identify small-scale ionospheric irregularities.
- Product Access via GOCE Virtual Archive at: <u>http://eo-virtual-archive1.esa.int/Index.html</u>



Courtesy: C. Xiong, C. Stolle, G. Kervalishvili – GFZ Potsdam; J. van den Ijssel - TU Delft

# **GOCE Reprocessing Results – Thermosphere**

- Use newly reprocessed GOCE L1B data
- New more flexible processor implemented based on linear and angular accelerations
- □ New high fidelity satellite geometry model
- Satellite aerodynamic gas-surface interaction model
- New unique acceleration-derived vertical wind data set
- Thermosphere observations from the GOCE deorbit phase special dataset
- Product Access via GOCE Virtual Archive at: <u>http://eo-virtual-archive1.esa.int/Index.html</u>



Density Differences Reprocessed vs. Original (Signal 0-150)



Horizontal Crosswind Differences Reprocessed vs. Original (Signal ±1000)

Courtesy: T. Visser, G. March, E. Doornbos and P. Visser - TU Delft



**Overview of ESA GOCE Models** 



#### **Overview of ESA GOCE Models**

	DIR6	TIM6
Maximum D/O	300	300
GOCE Data Volume	09.10.09-20.10.13; ~3.5 yrs (net)	01.11.09-20.10.13; ~3.5yrs (net)
Gravity Gradients	V <sub>xx</sub> , V <sub>yy</sub> , V <sub>zz</sub> , V <sub>xz</sub> ≈440 Mio. Obs.	V <sub>xx</sub> , V <sub>yy</sub> , V <sub>zz</sub> , V <sub>xz</sub> ≈442 Mio. Obs.
Gradient Filter	Low-pass filter, 46 segments	ARMA filter for 49 segments
GOCE SST (GPS)	-	Short arc approach (d/o 150)
GRACE SST (K-Band)	2007-2014 GFZ RL06 (d/o 130)	-
LAGEOS et al (SLR)	2002-2018 /d/o 60)	-
Regularization	spherical cap based on GRACE Kaula zero constraint (d/o > 180)	Kaula zero constraint (d/o > 200) Zero observations polar regions (d/o 11-300)



**Signal GOCE Models** 



IUGG General Assembly, Montreal, 11.7.2019

ΠП

**Estimated Errors GOCE Models** 



IUGG General Assembly, Montreal, 11.7.2019

### **GNSS-Levelling Validation Procedure**

- Compute height anomaly at GNSS-levelling station from global model up to degree and order N.
- Estimate omitted signal from existing HR-model from degree N+1 to 2160 (2190).
- Estimated omitted signal above 2160 from residual topographic gravity field model. (ERTM2160, Hirt et al, 2014)
- If necessary, convert from height anomalies to geoid undulations (Rapp, 1997).
- Compare with geoid height / height anomaly at GNSS-levelling station computed from h-H
- Systematic differences between model and observed geoid heights are possible (definition of local height systems).
- Apply correction surface (planar fit to differences)
- Compute differences of corrected GNSS-levelling geoid heights to model geoid heights.



**GNSS** Receiver

**GNSS-Levelling Differences per Truncation Degree – Brazil\*** 



Geosciences - DGC, Coordination of Geodesy – CGED, 2012, 683 Points

**GNSS-Levelling Differences per Truncation Degree – Germany DHHN2016\*** 



\*© GeoBasis-DE / Geobasis NRW, 2018, 470 Points

**Error Assessment of GOCE Rel. 6 Models in Germany** 



10 12 14 16 18 20 22

**Combined Gravity Fields** 



Airborne gravity observations taken by the PolarGAP project (10-2015 to 04-2017)



0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 

ΠП

IUGG Gene

# **GOCE Rel. 6 Products Summary**

- Rel. 5 GOCE gravity field models already meet mission requirements.
- Reprocessing of L1B gradients by improved calibration scheme, star tracker combination and angular rate reconstruction. Improved GPS data screening.
- Improvements of gravity gradients and GOCE models between 15% and 20%; Geoid accuracy: 1 cm @ 105 km, 1.7 cm @ 100 km.
- New HPF products TEC/ROTI and Thermosphere Densities based on GOCE+ and SWARM+ studies also reprocessed.
- Reprocessed GOCE models, gravity gradients, orbits ionosphere and thermosphere products available via ESA and ICGEM.
- Combined gravity field models and reprocessed gravity gradient grids available soon.

